

SCENECTADY COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 1

Towns of Duaneburg, Glenville, Niskayuna, Princetown and Rotterdam

Fourth Eight-Year Review Report



**Prepared For: Schenectady County
Legislature**

**Prepared By: Schenectady County Department of Economic Development & Planning &
Schenectady County Agricultural & Farmland Protection Board**

July 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
Agricultural District Law.....	i
2020 Eight-Year District Review.....	i
Recommendation.....	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS	1
Property Eligibility.....	1
What Constitutes a Farm?.....	2
Agricultural District Landowner Survey.....	3
OVERALL STATUS OF FARMING AND FARM RESOURCES	3
Agricultural District No. 1 Profile (2020).....	3
Viable Agricultural Land.....	4
Landowner Applications (2019).....	5
Properties Proposed for Removal.....	6
VIABILITY OF FARMING WITHIN THE DISTRICT	6
The Nature and Status of Farming and Farm Resources.....	6
The Extent to Which the District Has Achieved Its Original Objectives.....	7
LAND USE POLICIES/ACTIONS AND THE AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT	7
The Impacts of Nonagricultural Development.....	7
Local Laws, Ordinances and Objectives and Their Influence on Farming.....	8
Town of Duaneburg	8
Town of Glenville	9
Town of Niskayuna	9
Town of Princetown	10
Town of Rotterdam	11
RECOMMENDATION	11

List of Tables

Table 1. Profile of Agricultural District No. 1 (2012-2020).....	ii
Table 2. Agricultural District Acres by Town (2012 – 2020)	3
Table 3. Agricultural District No. 1 Review Profile	4
Table 4. Proposed Agricultural District Land Categorization	5
Table 5. New Applications by Municipality	5
Table 6. Parcels Proposed for Removal by Municipality	6
Table 7. Real Property Land Classification as Agriculture (100s)	6

List of Figures

Figure 1. Percent of Agricultural District by Town.....	i
---	---

Maps

Map 1. Proposed Agricultural District No. 1

Appendices

- A. List of Properties Proposed for Removal from the District
- B. Agricultural District Review Profile
- C. Environmental Assessment Form
- D. Worksheet Request Letters and Worksheet
- E. Legal Notice
- F. List of Properties Included in the Proposed District

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Agricultural District Law

New York State's Agricultural District Law (Article 25AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law) authorizes the creation of county agricultural districts. Agricultural districts are legally recognized geographical areas, predominantly comprised of viable agricultural lands, conforming to tax parcel boundaries. Districts must be approved by the county legislative body and the NYS Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets.

The purpose of the agricultural district program is to encourage the continued use of farmland for agricultural production by creating an economic and regulatory climate supportive of farming.

The agricultural district program is based on a combination of landowner incentives and protections, all of which are designed to forestall the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural uses. Included in these benefits are preferential real property tax treatment (agricultural assessment and special benefit assessment), and protections against overly restrictive local laws, government funded acquisition or construction projects, and private nuisance suits involving agricultural practices. While the law does not provide complete protection for farming and farmers, it is an important mechanism to support agriculture and maintain farmland. A more complete summary of the Agricultural District Law can be found on the NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets web site at:

<https://agriculture.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2020/01/summary-agrdistrict-law.pdf>

2020 Eight-Year District Review


The Schenectady County Agricultural District was created in 1988 and must be reviewed every eight years. The review process presents an opportunity to analyze the County's agricultural base and offers the County Legislature the opportunity to alter the boundaries of the district in recognition of changing land uses. The primary goal of the review is to ensure that the agricultural district consists predominantly of viable agricultural land.

As a result of this review, the proposed Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1 consists of 18,898 acres comprised of 329 tax parcels, or approximately 14 percent of the County land area (see Map 1). This is a slight increase of 134 acres since the last eight-year review.

Figure 1. Percent of Agricultural District by Town

The majority of the proposed agricultural district is located within the Town of Duanesburg (55%), followed by the Towns of Princetown (18%), Glenville (14%), Rotterdam (12%), and Niskayuna (<1%).

Generally, the proposed district is consistent with economic and land use conditions in each community and the agricultural properties proposed for inclusion in the district conform to local zoning.



The conversion of farmland does not appear to be occurring at a fast rate in most of the County except for the inner suburban areas where some farms have been replaced by development. The western portion of Rotterdam and the Towns of Duanesburg, Princetown and much of Glenville remain rural in character. Residential subdivision and commercial development have resulted in the conversion of some former farmlands and is impacting parts of the proposed agricultural district. Some former farmland in rural parts of the County have been replaced by large lot single family development. However, most of the loss of farmland in the County over the past 20 years can be attributed more to other factors such as low profitability and retirement than conversion pressure from encroaching development.

Table 1. Profile of Agricultural District No. 1 (2012-2020)

	2012	2020
No. of Acres in District	18,764	18,898
No. of Acres in Farms	12,711	16,781
No. of Acres Owned by Farmers	9,756	11,975
No. of Acres Rented by Farmers	2,955	4,806

Source: Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning

Recommendation

Based on this review, the Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning and the Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board find that the continuance of Agricultural District No. 1 with the proposed modifications is consistent with the provisions and intent of Article 25AA of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law. The district as proposed and depicted on Map 1 herein, furthers the purposes for which it was created and achieves the objectives of protecting and enhancing agriculture in Schenectady County. In addition, we find that the proposed district is made up of predominantly viable, active farmland and related adjacent areas, and is consistent with community economic and land use conditions.



INTRODUCTION

This report to the Schenectady County Legislature is a joint effort of the County Department of Economic Development and Planning and the County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board (AFPB). The primary objective of the report is to advise the County Legislature regarding the modification and continuation of Schenectady County's Agricultural District No. 1 and to satisfy statutory requirements of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law. Besides containing recommendations concerning proposed modifications to Agricultural District No. 1, this report describes the factors that must be considered when reviewing an Agricultural District and discusses the rationale used for determining which properties are eligible for inclusion. Additionally, the report depicts the extent of farming activities in the district, develops a profile of land use in the proposed district and gives a background on the New York State Agricultural District Law.

AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

The Agricultural District Law requires that each district be reviewed on a regular basis. In Schenectady County the review is undertaken on an eight-year cycle. The review presents an opportunity to analyze the vitality of the County's agricultural base, the extent to which the district has achieved its original objectives, and its effect on local government policies regarding community development, environmental protection, and preservation of the agricultural economy. The primary goal is to ensure that the agricultural district consists predominantly of viable agricultural land and will serve the public interest by assisting in maintaining a viable agricultural industry within the County. According to the Agriculture and Markets Law, when forming/reviewing an Agricultural District the following factors shall be considered:

- i. the viability of active farming within the proposed district and in areas adjacent thereto;
- ii. the presence of any viable farm lands within the proposed district and adjacent thereto that are not now in active farming;
- iii. the nature and extent of land uses other than active farming within the proposed district and adjacent thereto;
- iv. county developmental patterns and needs; and,
- v. any other matters which may be relevant.

Property Eligibility

One of the difficulties associated with administering the agricultural district program is deciding what properties are eligible for inclusion. According to the Law, the Commissioner of the NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets must certify whether the district consists predominantly of viable agricultural land. The phrase "viable agricultural land" is defined under Article 25AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law as:

"land highly suitable for agricultural production and which will continue to be economically feasible for such use if real property taxes, farm use restrictions, and speculative activities are limited to levels approximating those in commercial agricultural areas not influenced by the proximity of non-agricultural development."

While determining what constitutes "viable agricultural land" is challenging, according to the NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets, the generally accepted threshold is that an agricultural district must have more than 50 percent land in farms. On average, districts statewide contain approximately 70 percent farmland.

While the agricultural district must have more than 50 percent land in farms, presumably 49 percent of land is not required to be in active farms. Consequently, there is a great deal of local discretion in determining what properties are eligible for inclusion. Although the County Department of Economic Development and Planning and AFPB try to predominantly include lands that are currently in active agricultural production, a small percentage of inactive or fallow farm lands that were part of the existing district are proposed for continuation under this eight-year review. It is felt that retaining such lands in the district may encourage their reintroduction into active agriculture. When deciding whether to include such fallow properties, consideration is given to the surrounding land use and character of the area as well as the zoning of the property and general plans the municipality has discussed in its Comprehensive Plan and any landowner response to the review survey.

What Constitutes a Farm?

As with the challenge in determining what constitutes viable agricultural land, it is not clearly defined in the Law what constitutes a farm. While there is a minimum annual gross farm sales threshold in the Law for agricultural properties to qualify for preferential property tax treatment, there is no such minimum established to be considered a farm. It is the position of the Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning and the AFPB that if a property owner conducts some sort of farm enterprise on their land and identifies themselves as a farmer on the review worksheet the property can be considered a farm for purposes of this review, regardless of farm size or income. While this policy results in many small "part-time" farms being included in the district, these farms contribute significantly to the local agricultural industry and may help the continuance of agriculture in Schenectady County. Small part-time farms, although providing limited gross farm sales, help neighboring large farmers use their land to its best advantage by reducing the incidence of adjoining incompatible uses and by providing access to additional useable lands. They also help preserve open space and play an important role in the continuance of agriculture in suburbanizing situations.

In attempting to discern what constitutes a farm, another consideration was to try and be consistent with definitions contained in local zoning laws. A review of local zoning ordinances reveals that the term "farm" is defined very similarly in each town. A common theme in the definitions is that a farm must contain a minimum acreage, ranging from one acre in the Town of Niskayuna to five acres in the Town of Glenville, and certain uses are excluded such as the raising of fur-bearing animals, dog kennels, etc. Furthermore, the definitions require no minimum gross farm sales to qualify as a farm. Therefore, the properties considered as farms in this review are consistent with local zoning ordinances.

Although many small farms are included in the agricultural district, it should be noted that many of the benefits and protections available under the Law might only apply to actively operating larger farms or "land used in agricultural production" as defined under Article 25AA. Consequently, while a property may be included in the agricultural district, it may not be eligible to receive all the benefits and protections available under Article 25AA.

Agricultural District Landowner Survey

For this eight-year review, all 289 landowners in the agricultural district were mailed the Agricultural District Review Worksheet provided by the Department of Agriculture and Markets accompanied by a letter from the Chair of the County AFPB encouraging landowners to complete the worksheet and return it to the County Department of Economic Development and Planning (see Appendix D). A follow-up letter was sent approximately three months later to those landowners who did not respond to the first request. In addition to a mail in response, landowners were given the option to complete the worksheet on-line or send by fax. In compliance with Agriculture and Markets Law, a legal notice was published in the Gazette newspaper indicating the agricultural district was under review (see Appendix E).

Of the 289 property owners in the existing district who were mailed a worksheet survey, 180 responded for a return rate of 62 percent. This is an excellent return rate and the returned worksheets represent over 14,772 acres (74 percent) of the existing agricultural district. Generally, the data received from the returned worksheets form the basis for this report. However, for the properties for which worksheets were not returned staff reviewed aerial photos and other data to identify whether agricultural activities were occurring on the property and discussed proposed properties with AFPB members and town staff.

Of the 109 landowners (136 properties) for which there were no response, 62 landowners including 70 properties (1,138 acres) have been recommended for removal from the district (see Appendix A). A total of 83 properties (63 owners) for which there was no response are proposed to be retained in the district.

The proposed district includes a total of 246 property owners. Of these owners, 168 (73 percent) responded to the survey request representing 246 (75 percent) of the 329 parcels proposed for inclusion in the district or 14,521 acres (77 percent) of the proposed 18,898-acre district.

OVERALL STATUS OF FARMING AND FARM RESOURCES

Agricultural District No. 1 Profile (2020)

The proposed Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1 consists of 18,898 acres comprised of 329 tax parcels, or approximately 14 percent of the County land area (see Map 1). This is a decrease of 858 acres, or approximately 4 percent, from the district's current 19,756 acres and an increase in 134 acres since the last eight-year review in 2012.

Table 2. Agricultural District Acres by Town (2012 – 2020)

Town	2012 District Acres	2020 District Acres	Change in Acres	Percent Change
Duanesburg	10,635	10,334	(-301)	(-3 %)
Glenville	2,646	2,759	113	4 %
Niskayuna	141	124	(-17)	(-12 %)
Princetown	3,195	3,291	96	3 %
Rotterdam	2,148	2,389	241	11 %
TOTAL	18,765	18,898	134	<1 %

Source: Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning

A total of 121 parcels consisting of 4,755 acres are assigned a rural residential/vacant land (300s) property type classification. This represents 25 percent of the District. Based on the submitted landowner worksheets, 73 (60 percent) of the 121 parcels are identified as owned by a farmer accounting for 2,961 acres. Of the 48 parcels owned by non-farmers, 30 parcels accounting for 1,174 acres, are rented to a farmer. A total of 4,135 acres (87 percent) of the lands categorized as 240 are identified as farms or have at least some portion of the land in farms.

The largest land use category in the district is “Rural Residential with Acreage” (240) which comprises 43 percent of the district at 8,027 acres. Of the 110 owners (111 parcels), 86 responded to the survey (77 percent) and 69 are identified as farmers. Of the 41 non-farmers, 18 responded that they rent land to a farmer totaling 1,027 acres. A total of 6,579 acres (82 percent) of the lands categorized as 240 are identified as farms or have at least some portion of the land in farms.

Table 4. Proposed Agricultural District Land Categorization

Town	Proposed Ag. District Acreage	Land Categorized as Agriculture (100) ¹		Land Categorized as Rural Residential/Vacant (300) ¹		Land Categorized as Rural Residential (240) ¹	
		Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acre	Percent
Duanesburg	10,334	3,569	35%	2,153	21%	4,114	40%
Glenville	2,759	663	24%	905	33%	1,155	42%
Niskayuna	124	0	0%	37	30%	56	45%
Princetown	3,291	405	12%	949	29%	1,454	44%
Rotterdam	2,389	0	0%	710	30%	1,249	52%
TOTAL	18,898	4,637	25%	4,754	25%	8,027	43%

¹Source: NYS Division of Equalization & Assessment. Refers to the property class assigned by the local tax assessor.

Landowner Applications (2019)

Landowners now have an annual opportunity to enroll property in the Agricultural District and the need to publicize the eight-year review is no longer as critical for property owners wishing to enroll property in the district. Nine additional parcels comprising 308 acres were submitted by 7 landowners for inclusion in the district during this annual review. Table 4 below identifies any proposed new applications received under this 8-year review.

Table 5. New Applications by Municipality

Municipality	No. of Parcels	Acreage	Percent of Total New Acreage
Duanesburg	6	130	42%
Glenville	1	6	2%
Princetown	2	172	56%
TOTAL	9	308	

Source: Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning

Properties Proposed for Removal

A total of 70 parcels (1,138 acres) are proposed for removal from the 2019 district. The decision to remove properties is generally based on residential subdivision activity, commercial development, or at the request of the landowner.

If a landowner within the district failed to respond to the Agricultural District Review Sheet request, staff attempted to discern whether the property was still in agricultural use. If the property was determined to be in agricultural use, there are not any plans or proposals to develop the land, and local zoning is consistent with agricultural uses, the property was generally left in the proposed district. A total of 83 parcels consisting of 4,376 acres or 23 percent of the proposed district was left in the district despite receiving no response by the landowner to the survey request.

Table 6. Parcels Proposed for Removal by Municipality

Municipality	No. of Parcels	Acreage	Percent of Total Acreage Removed
Duanesburg	42	702	62%
Glenville	15	163	14%
Niskayuna	1	16	1%
Princetown	9	199	18%
Rotterdam	3	57	5%
TOTAL	70	1,138	

Source: Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning

VIABILITY OF FARMING WITHIN THE DISTRICT

The Nature and Status of Farming and Farm Resources

The total acreage of Agricultural District No. 1 as currently proposed is 18,898 acres and represents a small increase of 134 acres or less than 1 percent since the last 8-year review in 2012. The number of farms in the district stands at 144, an increase of 39 since 2012 and the number of acres in farms increased 32 percent from 12,711 acres to 16,781 acres. These increases are probably more attributable to variation in the interpretation of the data and the revised worksheet used to obtain data than an actual increase in farming activity in the County. In fact, since 2012 properties with a Real Property land categorization of “Agriculture” have decreased 1,336 acres (22 percent) within the district and now comprise 25 percent of the district compared to 33 percent in 2012.

Table 7. Real Property Land Classification as Agriculture (100s)

	2012 DISTRICT	2020 DISTRICT	CHANGE	
	ACRES (100s)	ACRES (100s)	ACRES	PERCENT
Duanesburg	4,536	3,569	(-967)	(-21 %)
Glenville	620	663	+43	0%
Niskayuna	0	0	0	0%
Princetown	742	405	(-337)	(-45 %)
Rotterdam	75	0	(-75)	(-100 %)
TOTAL	5,973	4,637	(-1,336)	(-22 %)

Source: NYS Division of Equalization & Assessment. Refers to the property class assigned by the local tax assessor.

While farming activities continue to change within the district based on economic issues, there is a significant amount of farming activity within the proposed district and the district remains important to support their continuance. As discussed above, while small farms might not contribute significant acreage, these farms contribute significantly to the local agricultural industry and may hold the key to the continuance of agriculture in Schenectady County.

The Extent to Which the District Has Achieved Its Original Objectives

The original objective of Agricultural District No. 1, as with any agricultural district, was to promote and encourage the continued use of land for farming. Toward that end, the number of acres proposed for inclusion in the district has remained stable with a proposed slight increase of less than 1 percent from 2012 to 2020. A total of 69 parcels, comprising 1,135 acres, or 6 percent of the 2012 district, are proposed for removal from the district due to residential subdivision activity, commercial development, lack of agricultural activity or at the request of the landowner. However, the property deletions are offset by the 30 parcels (1,300 acres) that have been added during the annual review periods since 2012 including this 8-year review.



Utilities Encroaching on Farmlands

While Schenectady County farms face increasing challenges, the number of farms and farm acreage has remained relatively stable in recent years and the conversion of farmland does not appear to be occurring at a fast rate in most of the County. In rural Schenectady County most farmland conversion is from large lot residential subdivision. The inner suburban areas of the County where public utilities are available have seen some farmland conversion and conversion pressure will continue to be higher in these areas due to property values and commercial/residential development opportunities.

Despite encroaching residential and commercial development impacting parts of the proposed agricultural district, the western portions of Rotterdam and Glenville and most of Duanesburg and Princetown remain rural in character and farming continues to be a viable activity within Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1. In areas where development has been encroaching on farmlands, the presence of the district continues to provide protections for necessary farming activities and relief from any restrictive local zoning laws.

LAND USE POLICIES/ACTIONS AND THE AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

The Impacts of Nonagricultural Development

Encroaching residential subdivision and commercial development have impacted parts of the proposed agricultural district and a small percentage of the district is in areas that could be

described as suburban/urban in character. As shown on Map 1, in these areas farms have become more isolated over the years as development has continued to encroach. While these properties may appear to have little relationship to the bulk of the agricultural district, this situation is typical of agricultural districts located in more densely developed areas such as Schenectady County.

The County's economic development efforts through the Metroplex Development Authority have a "Smart Growth" focus and do not conflict with the district's objectives. Most project assistance occurs in areas with existing public utility infrastructure such as the industrial/technology parks and downtown Schenectady.

Despite the relatively low development pressure in most of the district, the expanding presence of community water supplies may begin to encourage increased residential subdivision activity and a subsequent increase in land use conflicts. However, most of the loss of farmland in the County over the past 20 years can probably be attributed more to other factors such as low profitability and farmer retirements than conversion pressure from encroaching development. A substantial amount of former farmland remains idle and undeveloped.

Local Laws, Ordinances and Objectives and Their Influence on Farming

To a large extent, the boundaries of the proposed district are consistent with community land use policies and conditions. Generally, properties proposed for inclusion in the agricultural district conform to local zoning and comprehensive plans and are consistent with the definition of farm established in municipal zoning ordinances. Consequently, continuance of the agricultural district should not be in direct conflict with community economic and land use conditions, should help protect farm operations and assist in maintaining the remaining farmlands.

Town of Duanesburg

The Town of Duanesburg is a rural community with traditional rural hamlets that serve as centers of residential and commercial development. There is limited public sewer and water service available within the Town. While the Town is separated into five zoning districts, the Agricultural-Residential (A-R) Zoning District comprises most of the Town. As stated in the Town's Comprehensive Plan, "The Town of Duanesburg encourages the preservation and protection of the economic health of the agricultural community and the prime and important agricultural soils." The town adopted a right to farm law in 1991.

A total of 10,334 acres or approximately 22 percent of the Town of Duanesburg is proposed for inclusion in Agricultural District No. 1. This is a small decrease of 301 acres since the 2012 eight-year review.

The proposed district lies predominantly in the Town's Agricultural & Residential (R-2) zoning district. Principally permitted uses in the R-2 District include customary agricultural uses and roadside stands for the sale of products grown on-premises.

Recent non-farm development in and adjacent to the proposed agricultural district appears to be limited to large lot residential subdivision activity. A total of 42 parcels (702 acres) are proposed for removal from the district as a result of this review.

Town of Glenville

A total of 2,759 acres or approximately 9 percent of the Town of Glenville is proposed for inclusion in the agricultural district. This is a small increase of 113 acres since 2012. While most of the proposed district lies within the Rural Residential and Agricultural (RA) zoning district, approximately 25 acres are located within the Suburban Residential (SR) and Professional Residential Districts, approximately 75 acres in the Riverfront Recreation (RR) District and 25 acres in the Highway Commercial District.

The Town's updated Comprehensive Plan (2017) lists as Plan Initiatives for Agricultural Resources to “Carefully limit the intrusion of public water and sewer services...” and, “Promote agri-tourism and agri-business practices for the Route 5 corridor...” In the Town Zoning Ordinance, the stated purpose of the RA District is "To maintain low-density residential and agricultural development in areas that are considered rural, and to accommodate outdoor recreation facilities and other land uses which are dependent on a rural setting.” The Supplemental Regulations in the Town’s Zoning Ordinance also specifically lists Agricultural-related Uses where the stated intent is “...that an atmosphere of acceptance be maintained for agricultural activities within the Town of Glenville. Agriculture is recognized as an important part of the Town’s economy, culture and rural atmosphere.”

The principal permitted uses in the RA District include agricultural activities/farms, roadside produce stands, and commercial logging. Agriculture is not a permitted use in the Suburban Residential District and Professional Residential District except for roadside stands in the SR District. Consequently, existing farms would be considered pre-existing nonconforming uses. Such uses are sometimes referred to as "grandfathered," and are permitted to continue with certain restrictions placed on any future expansion of the use.

The Town’s definition of farm was amended in 2014 to include commercial horse-boarding operations and commercial equine activities consistent with NYS Agriculture and Markets Law which recognizes commercial horse boarding and equine operations as “land used in agricultural production.”

A total of 401 acres of the agricultural district is located within a recently expanded municipal water district (District 11). The presence of a community water supply may begin to encourage residential subdivision activity. However, the underlying zoning has remained RA which is compatible with agricultural uses.

Town of Niskayuna

The Town of Niskayuna is a developed suburban community approaching full build out according to the 2013 Comprehensive Plan. Neither the Town's Comprehensive Plan nor the Zoning Ordinance discusses the preservation of remaining agricultural uses.

Only 124 acres or approximately 1 percent of the Town of Niskayuna are proposed for inclusion in the agricultural district. This is a decrease of 16 acres since the 2012 eight-year review and reflects the removal of one small former farm parcel currently under review for a single-family residential subdivision.

Approximately 68 acres of the proposed district lie within the Land Conservation (LC) Zoning District and 56 acres lie within the Low-Density (R-1) Residential Zone. There are no principal permitted uses allowed in the LC Zone. However, agricultural operations, single family dwellings, and recreational uses are allowed by special permit in the LC Zone. The LC Zone boundary largely corresponds with the state-designated wetlands, the aquifer, and the floodplain of the Mohawk River. While the presence of the agricultural district may heighten the awareness of farming in the area and provide some right-to-farm protections, the NYS Agricultural District Law still requires farmers to follow best management practices when farming in these environmentally sensitive areas.

The R-1 Zone allows commercial agriculture as a principal permitted use. Commercial agriculture includes nurseries and greenhouses, orchards, and growing other field crops. However, "truck gardening and farming" are allowed only by special permit in the R-1 Zone. Allowing commercial agriculture as a principal permitted use while requiring truck gardening and farming to obtain a special permit does not appear to be consistent. Since none of these agricultural terms are defined in the Zoning Ordinance, discerning the treatment of agricultural uses is difficult. Consequently, the presence of the agricultural district may help preserve the remaining farmland uses in these areas of Town.

A farm is defined in the zoning law as: "Any lot containing at least one acre which is used for gain in the production or raising of agricultural products, livestock, poultry and dairy products. It includes necessary farm structures within the prescribed limits and the storage of equipment used. It excludes the raising of swine and fur-bearing animals and operation of riding academies, livery or boarding stables and dog kennels." The definition excludes commercial horse boarding operations which is inconsistent with the NYS Agriculture and Markets Law. However, no horse boarding operations exist in Town.

Town of Princetown

While farms no longer dominate the landscape, portions of Princetown remain largely undeveloped and retain a quiet rural character. Currently there is no public sewer system available in the Town. However, a public water district was formed in 1999 and has expanded to include approximately 258 acres of the Agricultural District. The presence of a community water supply may begin to encourage residential subdivision activity. However, no parcels are proposed for removal during this review based on residential subdivision activity. The agricultural district should help maintain the viability of farming despite future encroaching non-compatible uses.

A total of 3,291 acres or approximately 19 percent of the Town of Princetown is proposed for inclusion in the agricultural district. This represents a small increase of 96 acres since 2012. Most of the acreage located in the agricultural district is in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. Approximately 250 acres of the agricultural district is in the Commercial (C-1) zoning district and 52 acres in the Mobile Home (MH-3) district. However, farm operations are a principal permitted use in all zoning districts in the Town.

According to the Town's proposed Comprehensive Plan, the stated goal regarding agriculture is to “Remain farm friendly by preserving farmlands and encouraging agricultural opportunities.” The recommended strategies include:

- A. Amend zoning, subdivision, and site plan regulations in town to be more “farm friendly” and to preserve potential and existing farmlands during development.
- B. Establish policies and programs that promote agriculture.

Town of Rotterdam

A total of 2,389 acres or approximately 10 percent of the Town of Rotterdam is proposed for inclusion in the agricultural district. This represents an increase of 241 acres (10 percent) since the last eight-year review in 2012.

Three parcels comprising 57 acres are proposed for removal during this eight-year review. Nearly all this acreage is made up of one former farm parcel being developed as part of a senior housing project.

The proposed agricultural district is located entirely within the town’s Agricultural (A-1) zoning district except for a 13-acre parcel in the One-Family Residential (R-1) District. In the Agricultural zone customary agricultural operations are principally permitted uses.

The Town’s current Comprehensive Plan is silent regarding agriculture and agricultural uses. The Town of Rotterdam is currently updating their Comprehensive Plan to address Agricultural resources. The Town’s stated goal is to maintain and enhance agricultural-related values for the community by ensuring the long-term sustainability of agricultural operations, taking a conservation approach that supports ecological health, and fostering key connections between the community and its agricultural lands.


RECOMMENDATION

Based on this review, the Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning and the Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board find that the continuance of Agricultural District No. 1 with the proposed modifications is consistent with the provisions and intent of Article 25AA of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law. Specifically, the district as proposed, and depicted on Map 1 herein, furthers the purposes for which it was created and achieves the objectives of protecting and enhancing agriculture in Schenectady County. In addition, we find that the proposed district is made up of predominantly viable, active farmland and related adjacent areas, and is consistent with community economic and land use conditions.

Agricultural Districts

SCHEENECTADY COUNTY

NEW YORK



- Current AG Properties
- Expressways
- State Highways
- U.S. Highways
- County Highways
- Town Roadways
- Streets
- Railroads
- Bike Trails
- Municipal Boundaries
- Lakes, Rivers and Streams

0 1.75 3.5 7 Miles

APPENDIX A

List of Properties Removed from the District

NAME	TAX ID	ACRES	TOWN
BAKER, DANIEL	67.05-4-3.1	0.45	DUANESBURG
BALFOUR, TRUSTEE, DAVID T.	43.00-2-17.32	8.89	DUANESBURG
BEACH, RYAN F.	81.00-2-15.12	4.58	DUANESBURG
CANASTRA, CHARLOTTE	67.00-2-5	0.51	DUANESBURG
COLBY, JACK	81.00-3-13	1.30	DUANESBURG
CORCORAN, DENNIS M.	63.00-1-7.62	8.30	DUANESBURG
DREXEL, MICHAEL V.	52.00-1-40	29.19	DUANESBURG
DUNN HOME DEVELOPMENT LLC,	77.00-1-3.122	18.92	DUANESBURG
FAB-CO PHEASANT FARM LLC,	68.00-3-11.121	84.00	DUANESBURG
FRETTO, MARK	52.00-1-45	2.92	DUANESBURG
HELDERBERG REALTY LLC,	52.00-1-42	7.12	DUANESBURG
HELDERBERG REALTY, LLC	52.00-1-3.3	0.68	DUANESBURG
HOFFMAN, MICHAEL G. SR	76.09-1-1.11	5.30	DUANESBURG
LINYEAR, ADRIEL	81.00-3-6.2	2.80	DUANESBURG
LONGALE, KEVIN	76.00-1-4.111	1.96	DUANESBURG
LONGALE, KEVIN	76.00-1-4.2	5.46	DUANESBURG
LOWRY, ETHAN D.	81.00-2-15.3	7.07	DUANESBURG
HEBERT, ARMAND	43.00-2-17.31	157.41	DUANESBURG
MILLER, LOIS M.	43.00-1-18.12	5.53	DUANESBURG
MILLER, LOIS M.	43.00-1-18.2	7.85	DUANESBURG
MINER, WILLIAM B.	68.00-2-25.4	84.27	DUANESBURG
MINERLY, GARY M.	43.00-1-18.3	21.36	DUANESBURG
MONDA, JAMES	43.00-1-18.13	39.00	DUANESBURG
MONTY, KENNETH J. II	81.00-2-14	0.17	DUANESBURG
MONTY, KENNETH J. II	81.00-2-15.2	9.33	DUANESBURG
MUGITS, KYLE S.	52.00-1-43	7.05	DUANESBURG
NAVR PROPERTIES, LLC,	43.00-1-34	1.20	DUANESBURG
NEGRON, JASON	76.00-1-12.112	7.26	DUANESBURG
OHLHOUS, LORRAINE J.	81.00-1-8.11	31.10	DUANESBURG
PFAU, DEANNA	52.00-1-41	15.61	DUANESBURG
PORCARO, JAMES A.	76.00-1-12.12	10.32	DUANESBURG
POTTER, ADELBERT	25.00-2-20.2	1.37	DUANESBURG
SALISBURG, BARBARA A.	76.00-1-13.11	23.40	DUANESBURG
SALISBURG, BARBARA A.	76.09-1-1.12	9.20	DUANESBURG
SCHMIDT, KEITH	25.00-2-18.31	12.18	DUANESBURG
SLINGERLAND, ROBERT J.	67.00-3-12	1.70	DUANESBURG
SMITH, DAWN M.	81.00-3-6.1	32.20	DUANESBURG
STOREY, MICHAEL A.	76.00-2-5.111	4.79	DUANESBURG
TAZIN, SERGEI	52.00-1-44	14.79	DUANESBURG
VOELKER, PETER	52.00-1-3.112	8.19	DUANESBURG
WILSON, DAVID D	17.00-1-13.2	0.06	DUANESBURG
YANG, AUSTIN	53.00-1-9.22	7.00	DUANESBURG
	TOTAL ACRES	701.78	DUANESBURG

NAME	TAX ID	ACRES	TOWN
ARMSTRONG, JOHN	15.-1-13	0.87	GLENVILLE
BERGER, RICHARD H.	14.-3-6	0.64	GLENVILLE
BOVA, JOSEPH + ALEXANDRA	12.2-2-19.12	7.91	GLENVILLE
GALLERY, LAURA	3.-1-13.52	7.90	GLENVILLE
GELINAS, LEWIS M.	12.2-1-4	0.48	GLENVILLE
GISH, MICHAEL S.	21.4-1-10	10.00	GLENVILLE
HAMMONDS, SABRINA	3.-1-56	7.20	GLENVILLE
KIRKHAM, JOSHUA J.	12.2-2-19.131	4.65	GLENVILLE
KOCH, RAYMOND	3.-1-13.511	8.00	GLENVILLE
KOCH, RAYMOND A.	3.-1-13.112	6.90	GLENVILLE
METZ, CHRISTOPHER W.	7.-2-13.111	43.28	GLENVILLE
MORTENSEN, MARK R.	4.-2-40.2	1.58	GLENVILLE
PARTNERS, LLC, BROAD + THOMAS	30.-1-3	41.82	GLENVILLE
RIVERA, STEFANIE J.	3.-2-28	5.20	GLENVILLE
SUMNER, CASEY J.	3.-1-55	16.90	GLENVILLE
	TOTAL ACRES	163.33	GLENVILLE

KELTS, WARREN	41.-1-9.1	16.40	NISKAYUNA
	TOTAL ACRES	16.40	NISKAYUNA

DELUKE, ANDREW WILLIAM	69.-3-29.1	0.64	PRINCETOWN
DYKEMAN, JAMES F.	18.-1-4	0.50	PRINCETOWN
FAB-CO PHEASANT FARM, LLC,	69.-1-39.4	102.00	PRINCETOWN
FAB-CO PHEASANT FARM, LLC,	69.-1-40	80.97	PRINCETOWN
MCAL PROPERTIES, LLC,	46.-2-36	0.04	PRINCETOWN
QUIVEY, MICHAEL	69.-3-26	1.03	PRINCETOWN
SNYDER, THOMAS E.	46.-2-35.112	4.90	PRINCETOWN
TYLER, RANALD C.	19.-1-19	0.18	PRINCETOWN
WIEGER, BRIAN M.	36.-2-7.211	8.30	PRINCETOWN
	TOTAL ACRES	198.57	PRINCETOWN

BROWN, LYLE	71.9-2-21.11	56.98	ROTTERDAM
MELNYK, RICHARD B.	12.-4-14	0.36	ROTTERDAM
TOWN OF ROTTERDAM,	12.20-1-28.1	0.08	ROTTERDAM
	TOTAL ACRES	57.42	ROTTERDAM

APPENDIX B

Agricultural District Review Profile

**New York State
Department of Agriculture and Markets**

AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT REVIEW PROFILE

DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION

County: Schenectady				District No.: 1
Towns in District: Duanesburg, Glenville, Niskayuna, Princetown, Rotterdam				
No. of Acres in District: 18,898	No. of acres in farms: ¹ 16,781	No. of farms in District: 144	No. of acres owned by farmers: 11,975	No. of acres rented by farmers: 4,806

AGRICULTURAL DATA ANALYSIS

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| C. Since last review, number of acres in District | Added: <u> 134 </u> | Deleted: <u> </u> |
| D. Since last review, number of acres in farms | Increased: <u> 4,070 </u> | Decreased: <u> </u> |
| E. Since last review, number of farms in District | Added: <u> 39 </u> | Deleted: <u> </u> |

¹ Number of acres in farms represents the sum of acres owned by farmers and rented by farmers.

APPENDIX C

Environmental Assessment Form

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND MARKETS

State Environmental Quality Review
SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM
For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

PART 1 – PROJECT INFORMATION

1. The proposed action is located in the County of Schenectady
and the Towns of Duanesburg, Glenville, Niskayuna, Princetown and Rotterdam
2. The agency responsible for preparing this Short Environmental Assessment Form and determining environmental significance is the County Legislative Body of Schenectady County.
3. The name and address for the Clerk of the above named County is Cara Ackerley
4. Is this an application to modify an existing agricultural district? Yes No
 - If yes, what is the total number of acres comprising the district as it exists prior to modification?
19,756 acres
5. If this application involves a modification, will such modification result in a change in the size of the district? Yes No
 - If yes, how many acres are involved in the change? 858 acres
 - Does this represent an Increase Decrease
6. Zoning and Planning Information
 - Does the agricultural district correspond with a town(s) zoning district(s)
 Yes No
 - If yes, please cite the applicable zoning district(s): Agricultural/Rural Residential, Commercial, General Residential
 - Is/are the zoned district(s) within the modified agricultural district compatible with the goals and objectives of the Agricultural District Law, as set forth in Article 25-AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law? Yes No
 - If yes, please cite the applicable language: Rural Residential – “...maintain low-density residential and agricultural development in areas that are considered rural...”
Agricultural/Residential – “Assure proper environment for continued agricultural use of land.”
7. What is the present land use in the vicinity of the proposed modification?
 Residential Industrial Commercial Agriculture Park/Forest/Open Space
Describe: The area is a mix of rural, large lot residential, commercial and agricultural uses depending on whether it is a deletion or addition.

8. Is there a public controversy related to this district proposal? Yes No If yes, describe below:
9. Attach any additional information as may be needed to clarify the proposed action.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED
ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Authorized signature: _____

Date: 7/10/20



PART II – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

General Information

In providing responses to each of the questions, the reviewer should keep in mind that the action proposed is the modification or termination of an agricultural district or districts. The action is not the land use or activity which will, or may, take place in the district(s). For example, it is not appropriate to consider the effects of management action that may be taken by individual operators in conducting farming. Agricultural farm management practices, including construction, maintenance and repair of farm buildings, and land use changes consistent with generally accepted principles of farming are listed as Type II actions in 6 NYCRR 617.5©(3), and these actions have been determined not to have a significant impact on the environment.

- A. Does action exceed any Type I threshold in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.4? Yes No
If Yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF
- B. Will action receive coordinated review as provided for Unlisted actions in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.5?
 Yes No
If no, a negative declaration may be superseded by another involved agency.
- C. Could action result in any adverse effects associated with the following:
- C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly:
No. The action is a recertification of an existing district and does not involve a specific land use or activity.
- C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archeological, historic or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly:
No. The action is a recertification of an existing district and does not involve a specific land use or activity. The purpose of the agricultural district program is to encourage the continued use of farmland for agricultural production and forestall the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural uses.

C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly:

No. The action is a recertification of an existing district and does not involve a specific land use or activity.

C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly:

No. To a large extent, the boundaries of the proposed district are consistent with community land use policies and conditions. Generally, properties proposed for inclusion in the agricultural district conform to local zoning and comprehensive plans and are consistent with the definition of farm established in municipal zoning ordinances.

C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly:

No. The purpose of the agricultural district program is to encourage the continued use of farmland for agricultural production and forestall the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural uses.

C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly:

No.

C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy)? Explain briefly:

No.

D. Will the project have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a CEA? Yes No

E. Is there, or is there likely to be, controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts?

Yes No. If yes, explain briefly:

PART III – DESIGNATION OF LEAD AGENCY

Please indicate desire for lead agency status by checking the appropriate box below:

Since the proposed action will be undertaken by this County Legislative Body and since any adverse environmental impacts will be primarily of local significance, it is hereby recommended that this County Legislative Body serve as lead agency to ensure compliance with the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act. It has been determined that the only other agency required to undertake an action in this case is the Department of Agriculture and Markets.

The County Legislative Body does not choose to nominate itself to serve as lead agency.

PART IV – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Each effect should be addressed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e., urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) irreversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. If question D of Part II was checked yes, the determination and significance must evaluate the potential impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the CEA.

Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which **MAY** occur. Then proceed directly to the FULL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.


Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts AND provide on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination:

County of Schenectady
Name of Lead Agency

Rory Fluman
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

County Manager
Title of Responsible Officer


Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency


Signature of Preparer (If different from Responsible Officer)

10/5/20
Date

APPENDIX D

Worksheet Request Letters and Worksheet



County of Schenectady

NEW YORK

Ray Gillen
Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING

(518) 386-2225 FAX (518) 382-5539
Schaffer Heights, 107 Nott Terrace, Suite 303
Schenectady, New York 12308

June 28, 2019

Dear Agricultural Landowner:

Schenectady County's Agricultural District #1, originally formed in 1988, is currently being reviewed as required by the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law. As a landowner with property in the Agricultural District, you are being asked to complete the enclosed worksheet. It is very important that we receive completed worksheets for all properties in the Agricultural District so that an accurate determination of the significance and viability of the agricultural industry in Schenectady County can be made.

If you have any questions or would like more information on the Agricultural District program, please contact Stephen Feeney at the Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. (518-386-2225 x226) or at steve.feeney@schenectadycounty.com. The worksheets can be faxed to the County Planning Department (518-382-5539), mailed or emailed to Mr. Feeney as indicated above. A fillable form is also available on the County website and can be accessed with the following link and submitted electronically. <https://www.schenectadycounty.com/agdistrict>

Thank you for assisting in the review process.

Sincerely,

William E. Ruther
AFPB Chairman

enc.



County of Schenectady

NEW YORK

Ray Gillen
Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING

(518) 386-2225 FAX (518) 382-5539
Schaffer Heights, 107 Nott Terrace, Suite 303
Schenectady, New York 12308

October 4, 2019

Dear Agricultural Landowner:

Schenectady County's Agricultural District #1, originally formed in 1988, is currently being reviewed as required by the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law. As a landowner with property in the Agricultural District, you are being asked to complete the enclosed worksheet. Response to our first mailing in June has been very good; however it is very important that we receive completed worksheets for as many properties in the Agricultural District as possible so that an accurate determination of the significance and viability of the agricultural industry in Schenectady County can be made.

If you have any questions or would like more information on the Agricultural District program, please contact Stephen Feeney at the Schenectady County Department of Economic Development and Planning between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. (518-386-2225 x226) or at steve.feeney@schenectadycounty.com. The worksheets can be faxed to the County Planning Department (518-382-5539), mailed or emailed to Mr. Feeney as indicated above. A fillable form is also available on the County website and can be accessed with the following link and submitted electronically. <https://www.schenectadycounty.com/agdistrict>. Mr. Feeney will also collect the worksheet information over the phone if that is more convenient.

Thank you for assisting in the review process.

Sincerely,

William E. Ruther
AFPB Chairman

enc.

Agricultural District Review Worksheet

This form is to be completed by agricultural or non-agricultural land owners whose lands are within an agricultural district which is the subject to a review or landowners who wish to be included in the district. The information obtained from this form will be utilized by the County to modify agricultural district boundaries (if necessary) and determine the viability of the agricultural district as a whole.

PART I. LANDOWNER INFORMATION

Name: _____ Telephone No. _____

Address: _____ State _____ Zip Code _____

Email Address _____

Please Check One:

Farmer Non-Farmer but Rent Land to Farmer Non-Farmer

PART II. FARM DESCRIPTION (IF NON-FARMER, SKIP THIS SECTION AND COMPLETE PART III)

No. of Acres Owned: _____

No. of Acres Rented (from another landowner as part of the farm): _____

Total # of Acres Cropped/Used for Agriculture: _____

List the primary use of farmland (ex. dairy, Christmas tree, sugar bush, orchard, cash crop, vegetable, horticultural specialty, aquaculture, etc.): _____

PART III. BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION - please fill out the table below

Tax Parcel ID # (ex 123.4-5-67.8)	Check One Per Tax Parcel ID #			
	Owned and Farmed	Rented and Farmed	Rented to a Farmer	Not Farmed
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Attach another sheet if necessary

APPENDIX E

Legal Notice

THE DAILY GAZETTE

www.dailygazette.com

When Credibility Matters

SAFE. SECURE. RESULTS.

518-382-1100 • CLASSIFIED@DAILYGAZETTE.NET

Legals

SUMMER FOOD SERVICE PROGRAM MEDIA RELEASE
SCHOOL YEAR 2019-20

On behalf of the following school districts, HFM Boces and participating districts announce their participation in the Summer Food Service Program (SFSF):

- Canajoharie Central School District- East Hill Elementary- Open Site
- Canajoharie Youth Center - Closed Site
- Fort Plain Central School District- Harry Hoeg Elementary- Open Site
- Fort Plain Central School District- Jr/Sr High School - Closed Site
- Gloversville City School District- McNab Elementary- Closed Site
- Gloversville City School District - High School - Open Site
- Gloversville City School District - Kingsborough Elementary - Closed Site
- Hamilton Fulton Montgomery Boces-Adirondack Academy- Closed Site
- Oppenheim - Ephraim - St. Johnsville District - Soldiers & Sailors Park - Closed Site
- Oppenheim - Ephraim - St. Johnsville District - DH Robbins (Bow) P-Tech School - Open Site
- Oppenheim - Ephraim - St. Johnsville District - Soldiers & Sailors Park - Closed Site

Meals will be provided to all children 18 years and under without charge. In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g. Braille, large print, audiotope, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the Agency (State or local) where they applied for benefits. Individuals who are deaf, hard of hearing or have speech disabilities may contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, (AD-3027) found online at: http://www.asst.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html, and at any USDA office, or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by:

(1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;

(2) fax: (202) 696-7442; or

(3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

Persons interested in receiving more information should contact: Hamilton-Fulton-Montgomery BOCES, 2755 State Hwy 87, Johnstown, NY 12095-3747

Children participating in the following program(s) will be provided free meals:

CANAJOHARIE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT - OPEN SITE

Site Name	East Hill Elementary
Site Address	25 School District Road, Canajoharie, NY 13317
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/16/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:15am-9:15am Lunch: 11:30am-12:30pm

CANAJOHARIE YOUTH CENTER - CLOSED SITE

Site Name	Canajoharie Youth Center
Site Address	9 Erie Blvd, Canajoharie, NY 13317
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/16/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:15am-9:15am Lunch: 11:30am-12:30pm

FORT PLAIN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT - OPEN SITE

Site Name	Harry Hoeg Elementary
Site Address	25 High Street, Fort Plain, NY 13339
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/09/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:15am-9:15am Lunch: 11:30am-12:45pm

FORT PLAIN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT - CLOSED SITE

Site Name	Jr/Sr High School
Site Address	1 West Street, Fort Plain, NY 13339
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/09/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:15am-9:15am Lunch: 11:30am-12:45pm

GLOVERSVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT - CLOSED SITE

Site Name	McNab Elementary
Site Address	230 W Fulton Street, Gloversville, NY 12079
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/09/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:15am-9:30am Lunch: 11:15am-12:15pm

GLOVERSVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT - OPEN SITE

Site Name	Gloversville High School
Site Address	199 Lincoln Street, Gloversville, NY 12078
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/09/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:15am-8:15am Lunch: 11:30am-12:45pm

GLOVERSVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT - CLOSED SITE

Site Name	Kingsborough Elementary
Site Address	24 W. 11th Ave, Gloversville, NY 12078
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/09/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:00am-9:00am Lunch: 11:30am-12:30pm

HAMILTON FULTON MONTGOMERY BOCES - CLOSED SITE

Site Name	HFM BOCES
Site Address	2755 State Route 67, Johnstown, NY 12095
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/09/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:00am-9:15am Lunch: 11:00am-12:15pm

OESJ CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT - CLOSED SITE

Site Name	Soldiers & Sailors Park
Site Address	6 Lyon Ave, St. Johnsville, NY 13452
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/23/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:45am-10:00am Lunch: 11:30am-1pm

OESJ CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT - OPEN SITE

Site Name	DH Robbins P Tech School (Bow-AdPtech)
Site Address	61 Monroe Street, St. Johnsville, NY 13452
Begin Date	07/09/19
End Date	08/23/19
Meals Available	M-F
Service Times	Breakfast: 8:30am-10:00am Lunch: 11:30am-1pm

Legals

Notice of Formation of Limited Liability Company Pursuant to Section 203 of the New York Limited Liability Law.

Notice of formation of Capital F & Safety, LLC. Articles of Org. filed with NY Sec. of State (NS) on May 7, 2019.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

Notice of Public Hearing Town of Stillwater.

The Town of Stillwater will hold a public hearing 7:00pm on June 20, 2019 at the Stillwater Town Hall, 881 Hudson Ave, Stillwater, NY for the purpose of hearing public comments on the possible submission of one or more community development block grant (CDBG) applications for the 2019 program year. The CDBG program is administered by the New York State Office of Community Renewal (OCR), and will make available to eligible local governments approximately \$26.2 million dollars for the 2019 program year for housing, economic development, public facilities, public infrastructure, and/or to provide technical assistance to develop alternate proposals for the CDBG program or proposed projects) on or received after 5:00 PM. The hearing is being conducted pursuant to Section 570.406, Subpart 1 of the CRF and in compliance with the requirements of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended.

Legals

Notice of Formation of Capital F & Safety, LLC.

Articles of Org. filed with NY Sec. of State (NS) on May 7, 2019.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

Notice of a 30-Day Period for Public Review and Comment Concerning Schenectady County Agricultural District No. 1.

Notice of a 30-day public review period which time any municipality whose territory encompasses the above Agricultural District or any landowner within the County may propose a modification of the District.

Legals

TOWN OF HALFMOON NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Please take notice that the Town Board of the Town of Halfmoon will

Legals

2 Halfmoon Plaza, Halfmoon, NY. Please take further notice that at such Public Hearing any and all in-

Legals

Gloversville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Gloversville, New York, at which time and place it will hear all persons in-

Legals

Notice of formation of Limited Liability Company Pursuant to Section 203 of the New York Limited Liability Law.

Legals

Notice of formation of Capital F & Safety, LLC. Articles of Org. filed with NY Sec. of State (NS) on May 7, 2019.

APPENDIX F

List of Properties within the Proposed District

PRINT_KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDR	OWNER
44.00-1-12	DUANESBURG	36.70	240	2663 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	ARMSTRONG, GLENN W.
76.00-2-5.112	DUANESBURG	7.83	210	4124 SCHOHARIE TPKE	BERETZ, LEONARD P.
18.00-2-16.311	DUANESBURG	224.00	120	186 SCOTCH CHURCH RD	BLACK, STEPHEN
76.00-2-11.12	DUANESBURG	114.09	322	4951 SCHOHARIE TPKE	BLESSING, MARVIN
55.00-3-8	DUANESBURG	22.30	322	FEUZ LN	BRASMEISTER, FRED
55.00-4-7./12	DUANESBURG	19.00	322	FEUZ LN	BRASMEISTER, FRED
55.00-4-12.15	DUANESBURG	4.30	312	401 FEUZ LN	BRASMEISTER, FREDRICK A.
54.00-2-34.11	DUANESBURG	53.87	120	WESTERN TPKE	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
54.00-2-28.11	DUANESBURG	103.49	280	6167-6253 WESTERN TPKE	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
54.00-2-15.12	DUANESBURG	6.49	311	DUANESBURG CHURCHES RD	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
54.00-2-15.2	DUANESBURG	8.30	311	DUANESBURG CHURCHES RD	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
54.00-1-12.1	DUANESBURG	59.50	312	WESTERN TPKE	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
54.00-1-35.1	DUANESBURG	5.19	311	WESTERN TPKE	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
54.00-1-35.2	DUANESBURG	1.14	323	WESTERN TPKE	BREITENSTEIN, JAMES C.
74.00-1-21.31	DUANESBURG	37.11	240	535 OAK HILL RD	BROWNING, DAVID
74.00-1-28	DUANESBURG	2.54	311	OAK HILL RD	BROWNING, DAVID
74.00-1-29	DUANESBURG	2.54	311	OAK HILL RD	BROWNING, DAVID
44.00-1-8.1	DUANESBURG	65.00	120	2981 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	CHANDLER, ROBERT J.
44.00-1-8.21	DUANESBURG	155.64	120	2978 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	CHANDLER, ROBERT J.
43.00-2-17.14	DUANESBURG	25.92	240	627 HILLMAN RD	COLE, MICHAEL C.
63.00-1-7.61	DUANESBURG	23.00	240	1540 CREEK RD	CONNOR, KATHLEEN
74.00-3-2.11	DUANESBURG	35.83	240	1253 YOUNGS RD	COPPOLO, JOSEPH C.
74.00-3-2.12	DUANESBURG	13.70	322	YOUNGS RD	COPPOLO, JOSEPH C.
65.00-1-32	DUANESBURG	4.80	323	YOUNGS (REAR) RD	COPPOLO, JOSEPH C.
76.00-2-9.112	DUANESBURG	12.84	312	4751 SCHOHARIE TPKE	CORNAIRE, MARY ANN
76.00-2-11.11	DUANESBURG	2.51	220	1711 SCHOHARIE TPKE	CORNAIRE, RICHARD H.
76.00-2-25	DUANESBURG	67.78	322	SCHOHARIE TPKE	CORNAIRE, RICHARD H.
66.00-5-20.1	DUANESBURG	21.80	323	DUANESBURG RD	CORNAIRE, RICHARD H.
74.00-3-7	DUANESBURG	17.30	240	2690 THOUSAND ACRE RD	CORNELIUS, CHRISTOPHER A.
25.00-1-2	DUANESBURG	11.70	322	SCOTCH BUSH RD	COURTNEY, STEPHEN J.
17.00-1-13.11	DUANESBURG	238.00	112	1958 LEVEY RD	CZERNIS, JOAN M.
25.00-2-9.12	DUANESBURG	13.00	322	LEVEY RD	DEBI MAE SMITH REVOCABL TRUST,
34.00-1-5	DUANESBURG	10.00	240	230 LEVEY RD	DEBI MAE SMITH REVOCAL,
81.00-3-7.2	DUANESBURG	40.80	240	581 SALSBURG RD	DEBRACCIO, STEVEN
53.00-3-13	DUANESBURG	15.41	240	545 MUDGE RD	DECKER, ARTHUR J.
80.00-1-17	DUANESBURG	7.46	120	DUANESBURG RD	DECOCCO, DOMINICK A.
80.00-1-18	DUANESBURG	7.46	120	DUANESBURG RD	DECOCCO, DOMINICK A.
53.00-1-9.81	DUANESBURG	6.54	210	1236 MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	DEFOREST, JONATHAN H.
53.00-1-9.3	DUANESBURG	22.10	322	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	DEFOREST, JONATHAN H.
53.00-1-9.512	DUANESBURG	18.95	322	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	DEFOREST, JONATHAN H.
25.00-2-21.2	DUANESBURG	37.02	311	1074 LEVEY RD	DELUKE, ANDREW
68.00-3-17.2	DUANESBURG	12.40	322	WESTERN TPKE	DRISLANE, MARIE
63.00-1-2	DUANESBURG	249.10	240	650 CREEK RD	DWYER, GERALD A.
63.00-1-12.2	DUANESBURG	86.70	322	CREEK RD	DWYER, GERALD A.
64.00-1-33.2	DUANESBURG	11.50	322	CREEK RD	DWYER, GERALD A.
66.00-4-4.1	DUANESBURG	46.40	240	957 WEAVER RD	DYKEMAN, TERRY
25.00-1-3.311	DUANESBURG	137.70	240	768 LAWSON RD	EAGER, ROBERT J.
52.00-1-17	DUANESBURG	180.00	280	690 EASTON RD	EASTON IRREVOCABLE TRUST,
52.00-1-18	DUANESBURG	30.55	323	EASTON RD	EASTON IRREVOCABLE TRUST,

PRINT KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDR	OWNER
33.00-1-9.121	DUANESBURG	27.24	240	1402 MILLERS CORNERS RD	EGAN, CHARLES H.
33.00-1-10	DUANESBURG	29.00	322	MILLERS CORNERS RD	EGAN, CHARLES H. JR
66.00-4-3.311	DUANESBURG	118.80	112	272 FIDDLER RD	FIDLER, ALBERT
67.00-1-10.1	DUANESBURG	108.51	120	LARSON LN	FIDLER, ALBERT
66.00-5-11.11	DUANESBURG	23.00	312	322 WEAVER RD	FIDLER, RUSSELL
24.00-1-3	DUANESBURG	303.80	240	307 BERNE SMITH RD	FISH, DEBRA
64.00-1-4.3	DUANESBURG	186.00	120	11165 WESTERN TPKE	FLORIO, SAM
43.00-1-17.12	DUANESBURG	29.05	240	492 N KNIGHT RD	FOLCKEMER, HARRY J.
43.00-1-17.5	DUANESBURG	44.00	322	492 N KNIGHT RD	FOLCKEMER, HARRY J.
34.00-2-11.1	DUANESBURG	29.29	240	397 E HARDIN RD	GADWAY, MATTHEW J.
34.00-2-11.2	DUANESBURG	29.29	322	E HARDIN RD	GADWAY, MATTHEW J.
81.00-1-2	DUANESBURG	166.50	240	1070 BARTON HILL RD	GAYLORD, JAMES A.
52.00-1-12.221	DUANESBURG	12.36	240	1756 MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	GEMMITI, PAUL J.
81.00-3-8.1	DUANESBURG	97.70	240	811 SALSBURG RD	GIPP, DONNA LORRAINE
25.00-2-20.1	DUANESBURG	98.63	240	1364 LEVEY RD	GOGIS, MICHAEL G.
66.00-4-5.3	DUANESBURG	17.70	240	475 WEAVER RD	GOLDSCHMIDT, DAVID E.
77.00-1-3.22	DUANESBURG	98.44	240	1299 SCHOHARIE TPKE	GONYEA, SHANE
81.00-2-16.2	DUANESBURG	16.85	210	2025 GALLUPVILLE RD	GRIESSLER, CHRISTINE
81.00-2-16.1	DUANESBURG	77.15	240	1872 GALLUPVILLE RD	GRIESSLER, FRANCES
43.00-1-15.1	DUANESBURG	59.00	240	197 GROSS RD	GROSS, GEORGE A.
80.00-1-19	DUANESBURG	2.30	210	14435 DUANESBURG RD	HAYES, IRENE H.
80.00-1-16	DUANESBURG	7.46	311	DUANESBURG RD	HAYES, IRENE H.
43.00-1-3.4	DUANESBURG	30.30	322	MILLERS CORNERS RD	HOFFMAN, JOSEPH R. JR
43.00-1-1	DUANESBURG	3.70	312	382 BRAMAN CORNERS RD	HOFFMAN, JOSEPH RAYMOND JR
75.00-1-13	DUANESBURG	194.50	120	2581 THOUSAND ACRE RD	HOFFMANN, RICHARD FRITZ
74.00-3-14	DUANESBURG	90.00	113	2245 YOUNGS RD	HOFFMANN, WERNER A.
55.00-2-18	DUANESBURG	14.43	160	SCOTCH RIDGE RD	HOLUB, CHARLES G.
55.00-2-17.13	DUANESBURG	3.03	311	SCOTCH RIDGE RD	HOLUB, CHARLES G.
55.00-2-17.113	DUANESBURG	12.68	322	SCOTCH RIDGE RD	HOLUB, MICHAEL
34.00-2-73.1	DUANESBURG	34.77	240	320 ROCCHIO RD	HOUGHTON, JOSHUA
75.00-3-15.13	DUANESBURG	16.78	322	300 GALLUPVILLE RD	HULL, MINDY
81.00-2-15.131	DUANESBURG	7.17	210	1557 GALLUPVILLE RD	HYDEN, ROBERT H.
81.00-2-15.112	DUANESBURG	7.00	210	1197 SALSBURG RD	JACKMAN, TOD W.
76.00-2-26.1	DUANESBURG	17.00	312	SCHOHARIE TPKE	JAMES, JOHN E.
76.00-1-12.11	DUANESBURG	158.18	240	822 CHADWICK RD	JAMES, JOHN E. JR
25.00-2-18.13	DUANESBURG	88.42	322	LEVEY RD	JERALDINE L. BRAFF REVOC TRUST,
66.00-5-13.111	DUANESBURG	98.36	240	482 WEAVER RD	JOHN F. JR & DORIS T. BARR, FAMILY
74.00-3-23	DUANESBURG	51.30	240	1204 YOUNGS RD	JOHNSON, WALLACE I.
74.00-2-12.11	DUANESBURG	2.50	210	1461 OAK HILL RD	KLOPMAN, STEVEN D.
74.00-2-12.21	DUANESBURG	94.71	240	1001 SCHOONMAKER RD	KLOPMAN, STEVEN D.
74.00-2-3	DUANESBURG	0.18	312	ESPERANCE STATION RD	KOONS, WALTER B. JR
54.00-1-8.1	DUANESBURG	70.20	240	5200 SKYLINE DR	KOONTZ, RAYMOND E.
44.00-2-3.2	DUANESBURG	50.50	240	2391 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	LABELLE, KENNETH
44.00-2-3.11	DUANESBURG	37.85	240	2535 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	LABELLE, RITA L.
42.00-1-8.4	DUANESBURG	177.10	117	944 EATON CORNERS RD	LARSON, TAB
42.00-1-8.3	DUANESBURG	10.60	240	1009 EATON CORNERS RD	LARSON, TAB
42.00-1-8.1	DUANESBURG	169.15	312	EATON CORNERS RD	LARSON, TAB
68.00-1-7.311	DUANESBURG	81.90	323	SCHOHARIE TPKE	LAWRENCE , MARK J.
68.00-1-9.1	DUANESBURG	47.20	311	SCHOHARIE TPKE	LAWRENCE, MARK

PRINT KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDR	OWNER
25.00-2-21.1	DUANESBURG	52.14	240	1074 LEVEY RD	LEBLANC, HENRI M.
52.00-1-14.2	DUANESBURG	19.52	240	4625 STHWY 30	LIZZUL, ELIZABETH J.
54.00-2-15.3	DUANESBURG	86.00	117	334 DUANESBURG CHURCHES RD	MANRELL, FRANCES M.
54.00-1-13	DUANESBURG	67.61	240	6743 WESTERN TPKE	MANUS, CHRISTOPHER
44.00-1-10.11	DUANESBURG	31.68	105	2847 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	MARIAVILLE ANGUS FARM INC,
32.00-1-2.1	DUANESBURG	62.50	322	876 MILL POINT RD	MESEC, GEORGE
76.00-2-26.2	DUANESBURG	12.44	311	SCHOHARIE TPKE	MOOKLALL, NALANIE
64.00-2-23.1	DUANESBURG	56.50	240	10723 WESTERN TPKE	MORAN, WILLIAM H. IV
68.00-3-17.1	DUANESBURG	63.78	240	1635 WESTERN TPKE	MURTAGH FAMILY TRUST,
33.00-1-2.2	DUANESBURG	20.22	323	MCMILLAN RD	NEZNEK, DANIEL
25.00-1-1.2	DUANESBURG	125.00	105	SCOTCH BUSH RD	NEZNEK, JUDITH S.
24.00-1-1	DUANESBURG	118.30	240	1051 SCOTCH BUSH RD	NEZNEK, JUDITH S.
76.00-2-10	DUANESBURG	6.10	210	4751 SCHOHARIE TPKE	NICKLOY, MARY ANN
32.00-1-2.2	DUANESBURG	5.45	322	851 MILL POINT RD	O'NEILL/JACKMAN FAMILY TRUST,
68.00-1-3	DUANESBURG	157.60	120	1018 SUITS RD	OSTRANDER, EARL L. JR
54.00-2-35.111	DUANESBURG	40.00	311	HAWKS LANDING RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
35.00-3-10	DUANESBURG	106.77	322	1442 LAKE RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
54.00-2-3	DUANESBURG	8.60	210	1004 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	PETERSON, RICHARD E.
54.00-2-36	DUANESBURG	65.20	322	921 DUANESBURG CHURCHES RD	PETERSON, RICHARD E.
76.00-2-24	DUANESBURG	8.09	116	3992 SCHOHARIE TPKE	PHAFF, JUDITH M.
52.00-1-13	DUANESBURG	203.38	112	5204 STHWY 30	POHLS, PAUL W.
52.00-1-14.1	DUANESBURG	76.30	322	STHWY 30	POHLS, PAUL W.
52.00-1-15	DUANESBURG	110.60	240	4801 STHWY 30	POLLACK, DAVID
52.00-1-16	DUANESBURG	2.30	210	162 EASTON RD	POLLACK, DAVID T.
67.00-2-6.11	DUANESBURG	196.70	240	4136 WESTERN TPKE	PUTNAM, EDWARD A.
74.00-3-9.111	DUANESBURG	66.30	240	1687 YOUNGS RD	RAKOSKE, JEAN E.
25.00-2-1.211	DUANESBURG	23.13	322	MARIAVILLE SCOTCH CHURCH RD	REXFORD, DONALD G.
52.00-1-12.32	DUANESBURG	10.00	311	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	ROMANSKI, KENNETH M. JR
52.00-1-12.31	DUANESBURG	56.37	322	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	ROMANSKI, KENNETH M. JR
52.00-1-12.4	DUANESBURG	29.31	322	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	ROMANSKI, KENNETH M. JR
53.00-1-9.511	DUANESBURG	17.30	322	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	ROMANSKI, KENNETH M. JR
43.00-1-19.1	DUANESBURG	17.00	322	HERRICK RD	ROST, ARTHUR C.
43.00-1-19.2	DUANESBURG	16.00	240	537 HERRICK RD	ROST, ARTHUR C. III
74.00-3-19	DUANESBURG	71.40	322	YOUNGS RD	ROWLING, PAMELA H.
75.00-2-32.111	DUANESBURG	82.44	240	1546 THOUSAND ACRE RD	ROWLISON, DANIEL
75.00-2-31	DUANESBURG	0.52	311	THOUSAND ACRE RD	ROWLISON, DANIEL
43.00-1-3.3	DUANESBURG	69.35	113	602 MILLERS CORNERS RD	RUDESHEIM, WILLIAM
43.00-1-17.112	DUANESBURG	32.64	105	KNIGHT RD	RUTHER, STEVEN
63.00-1-11	DUANESBURG	82.60	105	CREEK RD	RUTHER, WILLIAM E.
44.00-1-4.11	DUANESBURG	232.00	112	1591 HARDIN RD	RUTHER, WILLIAM E.
54.00-1-26.12	DUANESBURG	14.15	240	628 MUDGE RD	SADDLEMIRE, ALAN L.
54.00-1-26.111	DUANESBURG	7.54	311	MUDGE RD	SADDLEMIRE, ALAN L.
74.00-3-26	DUANESBURG	16.30	210	990 YOUNGS RD	SALISBURY, BRYAN
52.00-1-10	DUANESBURG	91.70	240	2004 MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	SALTSMAN, LYMAN J.
67.00-3-20.1	DUANESBURG	105.30	240	843 N MANSION RD	SANDERS, BRIAN R.
43.00-1-2	DUANESBURG	2.30	210	382 BRAMAN CORNERS RD	SAO BENTO, LEDA M.
43.00-1-28	DUANESBURG	1.00	311	STHWY 30	SCARDINO, MARIO
43.00-1-27	DUANESBURG	51.35	240	7676 STHWY 30	SCARDINO, MARIO P. JR
34.00-2-7.3	DUANESBURG	268.11	120	1906 BATTER ST	SCHIFTNER, KURT S.

PRINT KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDR	OWNER
54.00-1-12.2	DUANESBURG	53.67	312	7082 WESTERN TPKE	SCHWORM, BRENDA L.
55.00-3-10.31	DUANESBURG	1.33	312	DEPOT RD	SCHWORM, HAROLD C.
55.00-3-10.111	DUANESBURG	111.50	240	642 LITLEDALE FARM RD	SCHWORM, HAROLD C. TRUST
67.00-3-13.111	DUANESBURG	18.70	220	5709 DUANESBURG RD	SELLS, DANIEL B.
42.00-1-1.11	DUANESBURG	43.00	240	230 MILL POINT RD	SMITH, BOICE
68.00-3-25.1	DUANESBURG	9.70	210	1860 DARROW RD	SOLOMON, ANTHONY N.
18.00-2-4	DUANESBURG	67.20	322	SCOTCH CHURCH RD	ST AMOUR, LOUIS F.
33.00-1-9.2	DUANESBURG	65.00	322	MILLERS CORNERS RD	ST PIERRE, LOLA
43.00-2-11.2	DUANESBURG	51.07	322	N KNIGHT RD	SYLVESTER, PAUL E.
43.00-2-11.1	DUANESBURG	6.56	210	897 N KNIGHT RD	SYLVESTER, SCOTT A.
74.00-2-1.2	DUANESBURG	38.20	322	205 KOONS RD	SZABO, DIANE W.
52.00-1-20.1	DUANESBURG	56.60	240	5442 STATE HIGHWAY 30	TAZIN, SERGEI
53.00-1-9.12	DUANESBURG	61.00	105	MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	TERPENING, TRUSTEE REVOCABLE, M
53.00-1-22	DUANESBURG	49.60	240	9712 WESTERN TPKE	THOMAS, BLAISE
81.00-3-14.111	DUANESBURG	116.50	240	979 GALLUPVILLE RD	THOMPSON, ROBERT A.
81.00-3-9	DUANESBURG	90.40	240	977 SALSBURG RD	TOMMELL, RICHARD S.
80.00-1-1.12	DUANESBURG	3.01	210	481 SHELDON RD	VANDER VEEN, FRANK
80.00-1-1.11	DUANESBURG	195.69	112	629-645 SHELDON RD	VANDER VEEN, SEYMOUR
68.00-2-35.1	DUANESBURG	80.40	280	679 SCHOHARIE TPKE	VEDDER, MATTHEW
52.00-1-8.12	DUANESBURG	9.88	311	2224 MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	WADDELL, DAVID R.
52.00-1-8.11	DUANESBURG	105.00	240	2268 MCGUIRE SCHOOL RD	WADDELL, JAMES F.
76.00-2-8.11	DUANESBURG	56.00	240	511 CROW HILL RD	WADDELL, LAURA J.
74.00-2-1.3	DUANESBURG	35.00	240	3150 STHWY 30	WALTZ, DIANE M.
43.00-1-3.13	DUANESBURG	16.26	240	601 MILLERS CORNERS RD	WEINHEIMER, MARK R.
44.00-2-15.1	DUANESBURG	191.85	113	1556 DUANESBURG CHURCHES	WILBER, REBECCA M.
76.00-1-10.1	DUANESBURG	8.20	210	5290 SCHOHARIE TPKE	WILLIAMS, EDWARD
73.00-1-14	DUANESBURG	183.70	117	535 UPPER BROWN RD	WILMARTH, JOAN C.
76.00-2-6.2	DUANESBURG	30.40	322	CROW HILL RD	WINNIE, PAUL J.
74.00-3-13.1	DUANESBURG	22.69	240	1979 YOUNGS RD	WOLKEN, SHERRI A.
	TOTAL	10,333.88			
	% District	55%			
3.-1-19	GLENVILLE	44.60	105	TOUAREUNA RD	ARNOLD FAMILY REVOCABLE, TRUST
4.-3-17.1	GLENVILLE	104.78	240	3569 JOHNSON RD	BARFIELD, KENNETH L.
4.-2-42.1	GLENVILLE	51.85	240	1550 WEST GLENVILLE RD	BEATTY, THOMAS F.
4.-2-19	GLENVILLE	73.30	240	1137 SACANDAGA RD	BEERS, CHARLES R III
20.-4-28	GLENVILLE	42.67	120	4281 AMSTERDAM RD	BHATIA FARMS, LLC,
15.-1-16.1	GLENVILLE	113.00	120	653 SWAGGERTOWN RD	BIKOWICZ, DONALD
6.-1-3.311	GLENVILLE	67.40	322	TOUAREUNA RD	BINTZ, ROBERT C. JR.
6.-1-3.21	GLENVILLE	50.00	312	4061 WEST GLENVILLE RD	BINTZ, SALLY E & ROBERT C. SR.
22.11-3-19	GLENVILLE	0.89	105	SARATOGA RD	BUHRMASTER, KEITH
30.5-2-6	GLENVILLE	18.00	105	SWAGGERTOWN RD	BUHRMASTER, KEITH
22.15-3-22	GLENVILLE	1.80	311	SARATOGA RD	BUHRMASTER, KEITH
22.11-3-21	GLENVILLE	3.90	484	SARATOGA RD	BUHRMASTER, KEITH
20.-4-16.311	GLENVILLE	64.33	312	3887 AMSTERDAM RD	CONLON, BRIAN F.
14.-3-5	GLENVILLE	56.50	240	502 BOLT RD	CONLON, CHRISTINA M.
7.-2-8.1	GLENVILLE	86.70	240	2443 WASHOUT RD	CRAPO, ANDREW W.
6.-1-15.1	GLENVILLE	37.30	240	472 TOUAREUNA RD	CRAUER, G. DUDLEY

PRINT KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDRS	OWNER
1.-1-16.12	GLENVILLE	62.99	322	HART RD	ERNESTO JUAN DE BEDOUT, AS TRUS
14.-3-17.11	GLENVILLE	52.00	170	613 SWAGGERTOWN RD	GLINDMYER, DOUGLAS
4.-2-4	GLENVILLE	159.68	240	1709 NORTH RD	GREY, HENRIETTA
4.-2-39	GLENVILLE	117.40	240	932 WEST GLENVILLE RD	GRZYBOWSKI, FAMILY TRUST, DANIEL
1.-1-16.11	GLENVILLE	46.00	322	HART RD	HART, BETH W.
6.-1-5	GLENVILLE	108.00	112	933 HOFFMAN HILL RD	HELLER, ADOLPH O. JR
21.-1-30	GLENVILLE	68.00	321	SACANDAGA RD	HILL, DANIEL
6.-1-11	GLENVILLE	17.00	322	AMSTERDAM RD	HOFFMAN HILL HOLDINGS, LLC,
12.2-2-1.1	GLENVILLE	13.00	457	7152 AMSTERDAM RD	HOFFMAN HILL HOLDINGS, LLC,
9.4-1-1.1	GLENVILLE	2.60	210	140 CHARLTON RD	HOLDER, PHILIP N.
9.4-1-1.2	GLENVILLE	8.30	311	CHARLTON RD	HOLDER, PHILIP N.
21.-1-19.111	GLENVILLE	12.20	240	1734 VLEY RD	JEFTS, DONALD R.
12.2-2-19.2	GLENVILLE	3.78	210	6217 AMSTERDAM RD	KIRKHAM, ROBERT JR
12.2-2-19.11	GLENVILLE	147.00	322	WATERS RD	KIRKHAM, ROBERT JR
4.-2-40.11	GLENVILLE	42.00	240	1396 WEST GLENVILLE RD	KMONK, ANTHONY JR
3.-1-17.1	GLENVILLE	54.56	240	2146 TOUAREUNA RD	KOCH, RAYMOND A.
21.4-2-23.11	GLENVILLE	74.90	240	29 BALDWIN RD	KULAK, JOHN J & ANNE H
8.-2-26	GLENVILLE	11.00	312	753 BOLT RD	NALLY, MICHAEL J.
14.-1-14.21	GLENVILLE	51.40	240	1733 RIDGE RD	PILEGGI, MICHAEL G.
3.-2-6.1	GLENVILLE	6.01	210	308 POTTER RD	PODOLEC, MICHAEL E & LINDA A
3.-2-5.1	GLENVILLE	75.48	240	534 POTTER RD	PODOLEC, MICHAEL E & LINDA A
3.-1-48	GLENVILLE	7.49	210	3133 TOUAREUNA RD	RAMIREZ, RAMONCITO
3.-1-49	GLENVILLE	6.88	311	TOUAREUNA RD	RAMIREZ, RAMONCITO
3.-1-50	GLENVILLE	5.90	311	TOUAREUNA RD	RAMIREZ, RAMONCITO
3.-1-41.11	GLENVILLE	21.04	240	919 GREEN CORNERS RD	RINGWALL, DAVID C.
3.-2-8.1	GLENVILLE	64.30	312	1648 NORTH RD	SMITH, DONALD A.
21.2-2-9.212	GLENVILLE	18.18	312	244 MAURA LN	STANLEY-WHITE, KAREN M.
7.-2-19.1	GLENVILLE	70.37	240	3069 WASHOUT RD	TOPKA, PAUL
4.-3-19	GLENVILLE	65.20	240	1225 WEST GLENVILLE RD	TRAUTMAN, CLIFFORD
6.-1-7	GLENVILLE	166.85	112	374 HOFFMAN HILL RD	URBANSKI, KATHY A & EDWARD J
9.4-1-16.11	GLENVILLE	116.53	112	761 VAN VORST RD	VAN VORST, TRUSTEE FAMILY, ANDR
1.-1-3.21	GLENVILLE	37.50	322	TOUAREUNA RD	VITUS, JAMES
21.-1-32.111	GLENVILLE	96.05	312	453 RIDGE RD	WELCH, CALVIN P.
21.-1-22.11	GLENVILLE	132.67	322	VLEY RD	WELCH, CALVIN P.

2,759.28

% District

15%

51.-1-28.1	NISKAYUNA	21.41	240	2395 ROSENDALE RD	BARD, CLIFFORD V.
51.-1-28.2	NISKAYUNA	0.36	311	ROSENDALE RD	BARD, CLIFFORD V.
51.-2-14.11	NISKAYUNA	34.70	240	1221 FERRY RD	BURGER, ROY W.
51.-2-60	NISKAYUNA	33.75	322	ROSENDALE RD	BURGER, ROY W.
51.-1-36.1	NISKAYUNA	9.60	230	2456 ROSENDALE RD	ETWARU, DEOANAND
51.-1-34	NISKAYUNA	7.20	210	2448 ROSENDALE RD	KAHRE, LAWRENCE H.
61.-2-6.1	NISKAYUNA	3.39	311	2479 TROY RD	KAHRE, LAWRENCE H.
61.9-3-5	NISKAYUNA	6.10	210	876 PEARSE RD	O'BRIEN, MICHAEL E. JR
51.-1-46	NISKAYUNA	7.90	210	2600 ROSENDALE RD	TAYLOR, REGINALD

124.41

% District

0.7%

PRINT KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDR	OWNER
36.-1-35	PRINCETOWN	129.33	280	971-81-89 RYNEX CORNERS RD	APPLE, RICHARD M.
11.-1-20.1	PRINCETOWN	12.04	240	361 FLORIDA RD	APPLEBEY, CYNTHIA A.
45.-1-4	PRINCETOWN	124.24	240	1285 SKYLINE DR	ARMSTRONG, JOSEPH L.
11.-1-6.2	PRINCETOWN	65.00	280	1573 SCOTCH CHURCH RD	BADGER, GILBERT
69.-2-21.111	PRINCETOWN	45.17	120	1266 QUACKENBUSH RD	BESENVAL, RICHARD J. JR.
19.-1-21	PRINCETOWN	12.00	240	3740 RYNEX CORNERS RD	BEYERL, LLC, NANCI
19.-1-22.1	PRINCETOWN	26.17	322	3764 RYNEX CORNERS RD	BEYERL, LLC, NANCI
69.-2-21.112	PRINCETOWN	55.00	105	QUACKENBUSH RD	BLESSING, MARY JANE
69.-2-32	PRINCETOWN	8.00	210	1246 GIFFORDS CHURCH RD	BLESSING, MARY JANE
69.-4-19.2	PRINCETOWN	10.31	240	1237 GIFFORDS CHURCH RD	BLESSING, WESLEY W.
56.-1-16.11	PRINCETOWN	88.00	312	BONNYVIEW LN	BONNIEVIEW FARM LLC,
11.-1-18.3	PRINCETOWN	85.08	322	FLORIDA RD	BROOKS, DONALD E. JR
11.-1-17	PRINCETOWN	59.94	105	SCOTCH CHURCH RD	BROOKS, DONALD E. JR.
12.-1-20.11	PRINCETOWN	265.65	241	3292 SCOTCH CHURCH RD	BROOKS, DONALD E. JR.
18.-1-29.1	PRINCETOWN	153.79	322	ENNIS RD	CALHOUN, JOHN C.
18.-1-3.21	PRINCETOWN	24.51	240	251 STERLING RD	CHICO, THOMAS W.
56.-1-23.1	PRINCETOWN	133.93	113	3328 DUANESBURG RD	DECOCCO, DOMINICK
56.-1-25	PRINCETOWN	8.76	311	DUANESBURG RD	DECOCCO, DOMINICK
27.-1-3.1	PRINCETOWN	67.13	240	1515 ENNIS RD	GEISINGER, SANDRA STAPLES
45.-1-14.111	PRINCETOWN	165.72	240	1061 SOUTH KELLEY RD	GIFFORD, WARREN
36.-2-11.1	PRINCETOWN	44.77	322	CURRYBUSH RD	GREGG, ANDREW
69.-3-27.3	PRINCETOWN	45.00	120	2627 GIFFORDS CHURCH RD	HASBROUCK, PAUL M.
69.-3-27.21	PRINCETOWN	4.15	220	2407-2417 GIFFORDS CHURCH RD	HASBROUCK, PAUL M.
36.-2-7.212	PRINCETOWN	65.90	117	5489 MARIAVILLE RD	HUNTER COLE REALTY, LLC
36.-1-28.21	PRINCETOWN	1.00	323	MARIAVILLE RD	HUNTER COLE REALTY, LLC
46.-2-2	PRINCETOWN	101.08	240	389 SKYLINE DR	JAMES, JULIA L.
69.-1-10	PRINCETOWN	112.11	240	616 DARROW RD	JENNINGS, EVAN P.
57.-1-7	PRINCETOWN	131.27	240	1167 PANGBURN RD	KNUTTI, ELIZABETH M.
27.-1-16.21	PRINCETOWN	76.03	322	RYNEX CORNERS RD	MADDALLA, PAUL E.
11.-1-10.11	PRINCETOWN	130.82	321	1829 SCOTCH CHURCH RD	PERSONS, CHRISTOPHER R.
57.03-2-16	PRINCETOWN	4.87	210	229 OLD PANGBURN RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
57.03-2-17.1	PRINCETOWN	0.97	210	224 OLD PANGBURN RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
57.-1-16.1	PRINCETOWN	74.95	240	143 OLD PANGBURN RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
57.03-2-17.2	PRINCETOWN	1.50	312	229 OLD PANGBURN RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
57.-1-17	PRINCETOWN	4.14	314	PANGBURN RD	PETERSON, RICHARD
56.-1-2	PRINCETOWN	140.28	240	1191 MABEN RD	POTTER, RICHARD K.
27.-1-20.21	PRINCETOWN	163.35	240	3405 RYNEX CORNERS RD	POTTS, ALLEN W.
27.-1-20.3	PRINCETOWN	48.70	322	RYNEX CORNERS RD	POTTS, ALLEN W.
36.-1-28.111	PRINCETOWN	98.00	312	151 RYNEX CORNERS RD	PRICE, BARBARA J.
69.-1-39.212	PRINCETOWN	5.00	210	820 WESTERN TPKE	RAU, ERNIE
18.-1-3.22	PRINCETOWN	24.51	240	1700 SCOTCH CHURCH RD	REID, ERIC D.
11.-1-1	PRINCETOWN	60.00	322	SCOTCH CHURCH RD	ST AMOUR, LOUIS F
36.-2-11.2	PRINCETOWN	32.50	322	PUTNAM RD	STRANG, BRADLEY
36.-2-10	PRINCETOWN	35.93	322	PUTNAM RD	STRANG, BRADLEY A.
26.-1-5	PRINCETOWN	171.14	240	REYNOLDS RD	THORPE, DOUGLAS E.
46.-2-12.1	PRINCETOWN	54.27	321	CURRYBUSH RD	TOEPFER, TIMOTHY
27.-1-14.11	PRINCETOWN	119.00	240	1535 RYNEX CORNERS RD	VAN OORT, JOHN P.
		3,291.01			
	% District	17%			

PRINT KEY	TOWN	ACRES	PROP_CLASS	PROP_ADDR	OWNER
19.-2-19	ROTTERDAM	94.00	240	3764 PATTERSONVILLE-RYNEX	BEYERL, LLC, NANCI
12.-4-8.1	ROTTERDAM	49.47	240	1390 MAIN ST	BILLS, DARLA RUTH
71.6-1-49	ROTTERDAM	12.35	210	1174 FT HUNTER RD	BROWN, HENRY
71.6-1-48	ROTTERDAM	0.69	210	1160 FT HUNTER RD	BROWN, LYLE A.
47.-2-19.2	ROTTERDAM	5.00	210	2025 PUTNAM RD	BRUDOS, DANIEL T.
47.-2-19.1	ROTTERDAM	132.80	240	1827 PUTNAM RD	BRUDOS, DARWIN B.
47.-5-13.2	ROTTERDAM	35.00	322	PHILLIPS RD	COFFENBERG, NORMA
47.-1-3.1	ROTTERDAM	115.00	281	1779 CURRYBUSH RD	COONS, JOSEPH C.
12.10-3-17.111	ROTTERDAM	4.05	283	1632 MAIN ST	DECKER, ALAN P.
12.-4-20.1	ROTTERDAM	34.00	322	RIVER RD	DECKER, ALAN P.
37.-2-2.3	ROTTERDAM	98.00	210	3275 MARIAVILLE RD	DELGALLO, FRANCIS
47.-2-4.11	ROTTERDAM	107.00	240	1040 CURRYBUSH RD	FEUZ, MARJORIE
36.-3-12	ROTTERDAM	151.02	281	132 PATTERSONVILLE-RYNEX	GIFFORD, EDWARD N.
27.-2-2.2	ROTTERDAM	3.08	210	519 CRAWFORD RD	GOODNOW, HAROLD R.
27.-2-2.4	ROTTERDAM	126.07	240	477 CRAWFORD RD	GOODNOW, HAROLD R.
28.-2-6	ROTTERDAM	23.07	322	GORDON RD	GORDON, JOHN
37.-1-11.121	ROTTERDAM	199.26	240	712 COPLON RD	GORDON, JOHN RAYMOND
36.-3-50.1	ROTTERDAM	25.40	240	448 CURRYBUSH CONNECTION	GREGG, ANDREW
47.-1-3.3	ROTTERDAM	73.30	240	1872 CURRYBUSH RD	HOLUB, DAVID L.
36.-3-7	ROTTERDAM	16.61	312	174 PATTERSONVILLE-RYNEX	HUDSON, LORI
37.-2-8.11	ROTTERDAM	28.50	240	2309 PUTNAM RD	INGALLS, DAVID F.
20.-2-8	ROTTERDAM	11.15	314	RIVER RD	KACZOR, EDWARD
13.17-4-5	ROTTERDAM	1.20	210	1328 MAIN ST	KACZOR, EDWARD J.
12.-4-11.161	ROTTERDAM	31.80	312	MAIN ST	KACZOR, EDWARD J.
59.20-5-1.1	ROTTERDAM	13.07	240	1113 HIGHBRIDGE RD	KENNEDY, MICHAEL L.
28.-3-4	ROTTERDAM	10.00	283	661 RIVER RD	KLEIN, JEFFREY
47.-7-10	ROTTERDAM	59.74	322	PANGBURN RD	KNUTTI REVOCABLE TRUST, JAMES W
37.-2-3	ROTTERDAM	105.56	322	PUTNAM RD	LAGUARDIA, ADEL F.
37.-2-5	ROTTERDAM	8.54	220	2605 PUTNAM RD	LAGUARDIA, BRIAN C.
37.-2-4	ROTTERDAM	15.69	240	2676 PUTNAM RD	LAGUARDIA, BRIAN C.
47.-7-17.1	ROTTERDAM	66.26	240	870 CURRYBUSH RD	LEVEY IRREVOCABLE TRUST,
27.-2-16.11	ROTTERDAM	154.65	240	935 UPPER GREGG RD	MURRAY, ROBERT C.
57.-4-20	ROTTERDAM	10.32	210	747 DUNNSVILLE RD	PUSTOLKA, MICHAEL L.
46.-4-5.211	ROTTERDAM	16.00	240	2714 CURRYBUSH RD	SCHROM, EDWARD C. JR
36.-3-50.2	ROTTERDAM	19.80	322	PUTNAM RD	STRANG, BRADLEY
47.-5-13.122	ROTTERDAM	2.00	210	212 PHILLIPS RD	STRANG, BRADLEY A.
47.-5-13.121	ROTTERDAM	30.38	240	210 PHILLIPS RD	STRANG, BRADLEY A.
36.-3-33.1	ROTTERDAM	27.18	322	3705 PUTNAM RD	STRANG, BRADLEY A.
46.-4-5.11	ROTTERDAM	68.00	240	2296 CURRYBUSH RD	TOEPFER, TIMOTHY
36.-3-47.1	ROTTERDAM	280.65	312	PUTNAM RD	VAN VALKENBURGH, ROSE E.
36.-3-49	ROTTERDAM	1.15	312	PUTNAM RD	WATROUS, ELBERT H.
36.-3-48	ROTTERDAM	8.85	210	159 CURRYBUSH CONNECTION	WATROUS, ELBERT H. JR
36.-3-41.1	ROTTERDAM	4.66	312	PUTNAM RD	WATROUS, ELBERT H. JR
46.-4-2.111	ROTTERDAM	33.27	322	CURRYBUSH RD	WATROUS, ELBERT H. JR
12.-4-10	ROTTERDAM	49.07	240	1370 MAIN ST	WILCOX, FRANK B.
12.-2-9	ROTTERDAM	1.12	314	RIVER RD	WILCOX, FRANK B.
12.-2-3	ROTTERDAM	25.30	322	RIVER RD	WILCOX, FRANK B.
		2,389.08			
	% District	13%			

Schenectady County Agricultural & Farmland Protection Board

William Ruther, Chairman
Windy Hill Farm

County Legislature Representative
Vacant

Ray Gillen, Commissioner
County Planning Department (ex-officio)

Paul Romano, Director
County Real Property Tax Service (ex-officio)

Nicholas Klemczak, Interim Manager
County Soil & Water Conservation District (ex-officio)

Sarah Pechar, Executive Director
Cornell Cooperative Extension Schenectady County

Thomas Beatty

Daniel Grzybowski

David Knutti

Michael Pileggi